Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/882,596	MURSCHALL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>Peter Szekely</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Cathy Moore</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 August 2004	Time: <u>1:00 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  All		
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 11, 23, 24 and 26.		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The "consisting essentially of" Inguage of claims 1, 23, 24 and 2		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
,		
Ja Ra		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)